

1
IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 3RD DAY OF APRIL 1998

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE B.K.SANGALAD

WRIT PETITION NOS.11299-303/98

BETWEEN:

1. Mohammad Aslam,
S/o Abdul Sattar Sab,
major, Prop. S.P.Motor
Service, Bilichodu,
Jagalur Tq, Davanagere Dist.

2. Mohd. Aslam,
-do-

3. Smt.S.J.Indiramma,
W/o late S.V.Jayarudrappa,
Prop. Dhanalakshmi Motor
Service, rest -do-

4. Smt. S.J.Indiramma,
-do-

5. Smt. Bhadramma,
W/o late P.C.Linganagowda,
Madiginakere, Jagalur Tq,
Davanagere Dist.

PETITIONERS

(By Sri Rajasekhar, Advocate)

AND

The RTA,
Chitradurga by its
Secretary.

RESPONDENT

(By Sri M.B.Prabhakar, HCGP)

These Writ petitions filed under art. 226
and 227 of the Constitution of India praying to
quash the notices issued by the respondent
vide Annexures-A to C.

These writ petitions coming on for pre-
liminary hearing this day the Court made the
following:

B

385

O R D E R

The petitioners are the permit holders to operate the buses. Time and again, they have renewed their permits. But, finally after the last renewal, the permits are valid up to 31-3-2002, 31-3-2002 & 1-7-1999 respectively.

2. The contention of Mr. Rajasekhar, learned counsel for the petitioner is that the authority-respondent has issued the suo motu notice directing the petitioners to file fresh applications for renewal of the permits.

3. Heard Mr. Prabhakar, learned HCGP.

4. Mr. Rajasekhar, learned counsel for the petitioners relied upon the order passed by this Court in W.P.No.5032/98 dt. 18-2-1998 wherein it is held that the act of the authority calling upon the petitioners to file fresh applications for the renewal is impermissible. Hence these petitions are



380

-3-

liable ~~w~~ allowed. In the result, the
petitions are allowed. Annexures-A, B and
C are quashed.

Sd/-
JUDGE

akc/

